Low-Rank Matrix Optimization Problems

Junxiao Song and Prof. Daniel P. Palomar The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology (HKUST)

> ELEC5470/IEDA6100A - Convex Optimization Fall 2020-21, HKUST, Hong Kong

1 Motivation

2 Problem Formulation

3 Heuristics for Rank Minimization Problem

- Nuclear Norm Heuristic
- Log-det Heuristic
- Matrix Factorization based Method
- Rank Constraint via Convex Iteration

4 Real Applications

- Netflix Prize
- Video Intrusion Detection

1 Motivation

Problem Formulation

3 Heuristics for Rank Minimization Problem

- Nuclear Norm Heuristic
- Log-det Heuristic
- Matrix Factorization based Method
- Rank Constraint via Convex Iteration

4 Real Applications

- Netflix Prize
- Video Intrusion Detection

High Dimensional Data

• Data becomes increasingly massive, high dimensional...



- Images: compression, denoising, recognition...
- Videos: streaming, tracking, stabilization...
- User data: clustering, classification, recommendation...
- Web data: indexing, ranking, search...

Low Dimensional Structures in High Dimensional Data

• Low dimensional structures in visual data



- User Data: profiles of different users may share some common factors
- How to extract low dimensional structures from such high dimensional data?

Motivation

2 Problem Formulation

3 Heuristics for Rank Minimization Problem

- Nuclear Norm Heuristic
- Log-det Heuristic
- Matrix Factorization based Method
- Rank Constraint via Convex Iteration

4 Real Applications

- Netflix Prize
- Video Intrusion Detection

- In many scenarios, low dimensional structure is closely related to low rank.
- But in real applications, the true rank is usually unknown. A natural approach to solve this is to formulate it as a rank minimization problem (RMP), i.e., finding the matrix of lowest rank that satisfies some constraint

 $\begin{array}{ll} \underset{\mathbf{X}}{\operatorname{minimize}} & \operatorname{rank}(\mathbf{X}) \\ \text{subject to} & \mathbf{X} \in \mathcal{C}, \end{array}$

where $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbf{R}^{m \times n}$ is the optimization variable and \mathcal{C} is a convex set denoting the constraints.

• When X is restricted to be diagonal, $\operatorname{rank}(X) = \|\operatorname{diag}(X)\|_0$ and the rank minimization problem reduces to the cardinality minimization problem (ℓ_0 -norm minimization).

- The rank of a matrix $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbf{R}^{m imes n}$ is
 - ${\ensuremath{\, \bullet }}$ the number of linearly independent rows of ${\ensuremath{\mathbf X}}$
 - ${\ensuremath{\, \bullet }}$ the number of linearly independent columns of ${\ensuremath{\mathbf X}}$
 - the number of nonzero singular values of \mathbf{X} , i.e., $\|\boldsymbol{\sigma}(\mathbf{X})\|_0.$
 - the smallest number r such that there exists an $m\times r$ matrix ${\bf F}$ and an $r\times n$ matrix ${\bf G}$ with ${\bf X}={\bf FG}$
- It can be shown that any nonsquare matrix X can be associated with a positive semidefinite matrix whose rank is exactly twice the rank of X.

Semidefinite Embedding Lemma

Lemma

Let $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbf{R}^{m \times n}$ be a given matrix. Then $rank(\mathbf{X}) \leq r$ if and only if there exist matrices $\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{Y}^T \in \mathbf{R}^{m \times m}$ and $\mathbf{Z} = \mathbf{Z}^T \in \mathbf{R}^{n \times n}$ such that

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{Y} & \mathbf{X} \\ \mathbf{X}^T & \mathbf{Z} \end{bmatrix} \succeq \mathbf{0}, \quad \operatorname{rank}(\mathbf{Y}) + \operatorname{rank}(\mathbf{Z}) \le 2r.$$

 Based on the semidefinite embedding lemma, minimizing the rank of a general nonsquare matrix X, is equivalent to minimizing the rank of the positive semidefinite, block diagonal matrix blkdiag(Y, Z):

$$\begin{array}{ll} \underset{\mathbf{X},\mathbf{Y},\mathbf{Z}}{\operatorname{minimize}} & \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{rank}(\mathsf{blkdiag}(\mathbf{Y},\mathbf{Z}))\\ \operatorname{subject to} & \left[\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{Y} & \mathbf{X} \\ \mathbf{X}^T & \mathbf{Z} \end{array} \right] \succeq \mathbf{0}\\ & \mathbf{X} \in \mathcal{C}. \end{array}$$

Motivation

2 Problem Formulation

3 Heuristics for Rank Minimization Problem

- Nuclear Norm Heuristic
- Log-det Heuristic
- Matrix Factorization based Method
- Rank Constraint via Convex Iteration

4 Real Applications

- Netflix Prize
- Video Intrusion Detection

- In general, the rank minimization problem is NP-hard, and there is little hope of finding the global minimum efficiently in all instances.
- What we are going to talk about, instead, are efficient *heuristics*, categorized into two groups:
 - Approximate the rank function with some surrogate functions
 - Nuclear norm heuristic
 - Log-det heuristic
 - Solving a sequence of rank-constrained feasibility problems
 - Matrix factorization based method
 - Rank constraint via convex iteration

Motivation

2 Problem Formulation

3 Heuristics for Rank Minimization Problem

- Nuclear Norm Heuristic
- Log-det Heuristic
- Matrix Factorization based Method
- Rank Constraint via Convex Iteration

4 Real Applications

- Netflix Prize
- Video Intrusion Detection

A well known heuristic for rank minimization problem is replacing the rank function in the objective with the nuclear norm

 $\begin{array}{ll} \underset{\mathbf{X}}{\operatorname{minimize}} & \left\|\mathbf{X}\right\|_{*} \\ \text{subject to} & \mathbf{X} \in \mathcal{C} \end{array}$

- Proposed by Fazel (2002) [Fazel, 2002].
- The nuclear norm $\|\mathbf{X}\|_*$ is defined as the sum of singular values, i.e., $\|\mathbf{X}\|_* = \sum_{i=1}^r \sigma_i$.
- If $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{X}^T \succeq \mathbf{0}$, $\|\mathbf{X}\|_*$ is just $\operatorname{Tr}(\mathbf{X})$ and the "nuclear norm heuristic" reduces to the "trace heuristic".

- Nuclear norm can be viewed as the ℓ_1 -norm of the vector of singular values.
- Just as ℓ_1 -norm \Rightarrow sparsity, nuclear norm \Rightarrow sparse singular value vector, i.e., low rank.
- When X is restricted to be diagonal, $\|X\|_* = \|\operatorname{diag}(X)\|_1$ and the nuclear norm heuristic for rank minimization problem reduces to the ℓ_1 -norm heuristic for cardinality minimization problem.
- $\|\mathbf{x}\|_1$ is the convex envelope of $\operatorname{card}(\mathbf{x})$ over $\{\mathbf{x} | \|\mathbf{x}\|_{\infty} \leq 1\}$. Similarly, $\|\mathbf{X}\|_*$ is the convex envelope of $\operatorname{rank}(\mathbf{X})$ on the convex set $\{\mathbf{X} | \|\mathbf{X}\|_2 \leq 1\}$.

Equivalent SDP Formulation

Lemma

For $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbf{R}^{m \times n}$ and $t \in \mathbf{R}$, we have $\|\mathbf{X}\|_* \leq t$ if and only if there exist matrices $\mathbf{Y} \in \mathbf{R}^{m \times m}$ and $\mathbf{Z} \in \mathbf{R}^{n \times n}$ such that

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{Y} & \mathbf{X} \\ \mathbf{X}^T & \mathbf{Z} \end{bmatrix} \succeq \mathbf{0}, \quad \operatorname{Tr}(\mathbf{Y}) + \operatorname{Tr}(\mathbf{Z}) \le 2t.$$

• Based on the above lemma, the nuclear norm minimization problem is equivalent to

$$\begin{array}{ll} \underset{\mathbf{X},\mathbf{Y},\mathbf{Z}}{\operatorname{minimize}} & \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{Tr}(\mathbf{Y}+\mathbf{Z}) \\ \text{subject to} & \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{Y} & \mathbf{X} \\ \mathbf{X}^T & \mathbf{Z} \end{bmatrix} \succeq \mathbf{0} \\ & \mathbf{X} \in \mathcal{C}. \end{array}$$

• This SDP formulation can also be obtained by applying the "trace heuristic" to the PSD form of the RMP.

J. Song and D. Palomar

Motivation

2 Problem Formulation

3 Heuristics for Rank Minimization Problem

- Nuclear Norm Heuristic
- Log-det Heuristic
- Matrix Factorization based Method
- Rank Constraint via Convex Iteration

4 Real Applications

- Netflix Prize
- Video Intrusion Detection

- In the log-det heuristic, log-det function is used as a smooth surrogate for rank function.
- Symmetric positive semidefinite case:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \underset{\mathbf{X}}{\operatorname{minimize}} & \log \det(\mathbf{X} + \delta \mathbf{I}) \\ \\ \operatorname{subject to} & \mathbf{X} \in \mathcal{C}, \end{array}$$

where $\delta > 0$ is a small regularization constant.

- Note that $\log \det(\mathbf{X} + \delta \mathbf{I}) = \sum_i \log(\sigma_i(\mathbf{X} + \delta \mathbf{I}))$, $\operatorname{rank}(\mathbf{X}) = \|\boldsymbol{\sigma}(\mathbf{X})\|_0$, and $\log(s + \delta)$ can be seen as a surrogate function of $\operatorname{card}(s)$.
- However, the surrogate function $\log \det(\mathbf{X} + \delta \mathbf{I})$ is not convex (in fact, it is concave).

- An iterative linearization and minimization scheme (called majorization-minimization) is used to find a local minimum.
- Let $\mathbf{X}^{(k)}$ denote the *k*th iterate of the optimization variable \mathbf{X} . The first-order Taylor series expansion of $\log \det (\mathbf{X} + \delta \mathbf{I})$ about $\mathbf{X}^{(k)}$ is given by

$$\log \det \left(\mathbf{X} + \delta \mathbf{I} \right) \approx \log \det \left(\mathbf{X}^{(k)} + \delta \mathbf{I} \right) + \operatorname{Tr} \left(\left(\mathbf{X}^{(k)} + \delta \mathbf{I} \right)^{-1} \left(\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{X}^{(k)} \right) \right).$$

Then, one could minimize $\log\det{({\bf X}+\delta {\bf I})}$ by iteratively minimizing the local linearization, which leads to

$$\mathbf{X}^{(k+1)} = \operatorname*{argmin}_{\mathbf{X} \in \mathcal{C}} \operatorname{Tr} \left(\left(\mathbf{X}^{(k)} + \delta \mathbf{I}
ight)^{-1} \mathbf{X}
ight).$$

- If we choose $\mathbf{X}^{(0)} = \mathbf{I}$, the first iteration is equivalent to minimizing the trace of \mathbf{X} , which is just the trace heuristic. The iterations that follow try to reduce the rank further. In this sense, we can view this heuristic as a refinement of the trace heuristic.
- At each iteration we solve a weighted trace minimization problem, with weights $\mathbf{W}^{(k)} = \left(\mathbf{X}^{(k)} + \delta \mathbf{I}\right)^{-1}$. Thus, the log-det heuristic can be considered as an extension of the iterative reweighted ℓ_1 -norm heuristic to the matrix case.

Log-det Heuristic for General Matrix

 $\bullet\,$ For general nonsquare matrix ${\bf X},$ we can apply the log-det heuristic to the equivalent PSD form and obtain

$$\begin{array}{ll} \underset{\mathbf{X},\mathbf{Y},\mathbf{Z}}{\text{minimize}} & \log \det(\mathsf{blkdiag}(\mathbf{Y},\mathbf{Z}) + \delta \mathbf{I}) \\ \text{subject to} & \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{Y} & \mathbf{X} \\ \mathbf{X}^T & \mathbf{Z} \end{bmatrix} \succeq \mathbf{0} \\ & \mathbf{X} \in \mathcal{C}. \end{array}$$

• Linearizing as before, at iteration k we solve the following problem to get $\mathbf{X}^{(k+1)}$, $\mathbf{Y}^{(k+1)}$ and $\mathbf{Z}^{(k+1)}$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \underset{\mathbf{X},\mathbf{Y},\mathbf{Z}}{\text{minimize}} & \operatorname{Tr}\left(\left(\mathsf{blkdiag}(\mathbf{Y}^{(k)},\mathbf{Z}^{(k)}) + \delta \mathbf{I}\right)^{-1}\mathsf{blkdiag}(\mathbf{Y},\mathbf{Z})\right)\\ \text{subject to} & \left[\begin{array}{cc} \mathbf{Y} & \mathbf{X} \\ \mathbf{X}^T & \mathbf{Z} \end{array}\right] \succeq \mathbf{0}\\ & \mathbf{X} \in \mathcal{C}. \end{array}$$

Motivation

2 Problem Formulation

3 Heuristics for Rank Minimization Problem

- Nuclear Norm Heuristic
- Log-det Heuristic
- Matrix Factorization based Method
- Rank Constraint via Convex Iteration

4 Real Applications

- Netflix Prize
- Video Intrusion Detection

- The idea behind factorization based methods is that $rank(\mathbf{X}) \leq r$ if and only if \mathbf{X} can be factorized as $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{FG}$, where $\mathbf{F} \in \mathbf{R}^{m \times r}$ and $\mathbf{G} \in \mathbf{R}^{r \times n}$.
- For each given r, we check if there exists a feasible \mathbf{X} of rank less than or equal to r by checking if any $\mathbf{X} \in C$ can be factored as above.
- The expression X = FG is not convex in X, F and G simultaneously, but it is convex in (X, F) when G is fixed and convex in (X, G) when F is fixed.
- Various heuristics can be applied to handle this non-convex equality constraint, but it is not guaranteed to find an \mathbf{X} with rank r even if one exists.

- Coordinate descent method: Fix F and G one at a time and iteratively solve a convex problem at each iteration.
 - Choose $\mathbf{F}^{(0)} \in \mathbf{R}^{m \times r}$. Set k = 1.

repeat

$$\begin{split} (\tilde{\mathbf{X}}^{(k)}, \mathbf{G}^{(k)}) &= \operatorname*{argmin}_{\mathbf{X} \in \mathcal{C}, \mathbf{G} \in \mathbf{R}^{r \times n}} \left\| \mathbf{X} - \mathbf{F}^{(k-1)} \mathbf{G} \right\|_{F} \\ (\mathbf{X}^{(k)}, \mathbf{F}^{(k)}) &= \operatorname*{argmin}_{\mathbf{X} \in \mathcal{C}, \mathbf{F} \in \mathbf{R}^{m \times r}} \left\| \mathbf{X} - \mathbf{F} \mathbf{G}^{(k)} \right\|_{F} \\ e^{(k)} &= \left\| \mathbf{X}^{(k)} - \mathbf{F}^{(k)} \mathbf{G}^{(k)} \right\|_{F}, \end{split}$$

• until $e^{(k)} \leq \epsilon$, or $e^{(k-1)}$ and $e^{(k)}$ are approximately equal.

Motivation

2 Problem Formulation

3 Heuristics for Rank Minimization Problem

- Nuclear Norm Heuristic
- Log-det Heuristic
- Matrix Factorization based Method
- Rank Constraint via Convex Iteration

4 Real Applications

- Netflix Prize
- Video Intrusion Detection

Rank Constraint via Convex Iteration

• Consider a semidefinite rank-constrained feasibility problem

$$\begin{array}{ll} & \text{find} & \mathbf{X} \\ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{S}^n & \\ \text{subject to} & \mathbf{X} \in \mathcal{C} \\ & \mathbf{X} \succeq \mathbf{0} \\ & \text{rank}(\mathbf{X}) \leq r, \end{array}$$

• It is proposed in [Dattorro, 2005] to solve this problem via iteratively solving the following two convex problems:

$\underset{\mathbf{x}}{\operatorname{minimize}}$	$\operatorname{Tr}(\mathbf{W}^{\star}\mathbf{X})$	$\underset{\mathbf{W}}{\operatorname{minimize}}$	$\operatorname{Tr}(\mathbf{WX}^{\star})$
subject to	$\mathbf{X}\in\mathcal{C}$	subject to	$0 \preceq \mathbf{W} \preceq \mathbf{I}$
	$\mathbf{X}\succeq 0$		$\operatorname{Tr}(\mathbf{W}) = n - r$

where \mathbf{W}^{\star} is the optimal solution of the second problem and \mathbf{X}^{\star} is the optimal solution of the first problem.

J. Song and D. Palomar

• An optimal solution to the second problem is known in closed form. Given non-increasingly ordered diagonalization $\mathbf{X}^{\star} = \mathbf{Q} \Lambda \mathbf{Q}^{T}$, then matrix $\mathbf{W}^{\star} = \mathbf{U}^{\star} \mathbf{U}^{\star T}$ is optimal where $\mathbf{U}^{\star} = \mathbf{Q}(:, r+1:n) \in \mathbf{R}^{n \times n-r}$, and

$$\operatorname{Tr}(\mathbf{W}^{\star}\mathbf{X}^{\star}) = \sum_{i=r+1}^{n} \lambda_{i}(\mathbf{X}^{\star}).$$

- We start from $W^* = I$ and iteratively solving the two convex problems. Note that in the first iteration the first problem is just the "trace heuristic".
- Suppose at convergence, $Tr(\mathbf{W}^*\mathbf{X}^*) = \tau$, if $\tau = 0$, then $rank(\mathbf{X}^*) \leq r$ and \mathbf{X}^* is a feasible point. But this is not guaranteed, only local convergence can be established, i.e., converging to some $\tau \geq 0$.

• For general nonsquare matrix $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbf{R}^{m imes n}$, we have an equivalent PSD form

• The same convex iterations can be applied now. Note that if we start from $W^* = I$, now the first problem is just the "nuclear norm heuristic" for the first iteration.

Motivation

2 Problem Formulation

3 Heuristics for Rank Minimization Problem

- Nuclear Norm Heuristic
- Log-det Heuristic
- Matrix Factorization based Method
- Rank Constraint via Convex Iteration

4 Real Applications

- Netflix Prize
- Video Intrusion Detection

Motivation

2 Problem Formulation

3 Heuristics for Rank Minimization Problem

- Nuclear Norm Heuristic
- Log-det Heuristic
- Matrix Factorization based Method
- Rank Constraint via Convex Iteration

4 Real Applications

- Netflix Prize
- Video Intrusion Detection

Recommender Systems



match.com 👫 chemistry eHarmony

- How does Amazon recommend commodities?
- How does Netflix recommend movies?

J. Song and D. Palomar

Netflix Prize



• Given 100 million ratings on a scale of 1 to 5, predict 3 million ratings to highest accuracy



- 17,770 total movies, 480,189 total users
- How to fill in the blanks?
- Can you improve the recommendation accuracy by 10% over what Netflix was using? \implies One million dollars!

- Consider a rating matrix $\mathbf{R}^{m \times n}$ with R_{ij} representing the rating user i gives to movie j.
- But some R_{ij} are unknown since no one watches all movies

$$\mathbf{R} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 & ? & ? & 5 & ? \\ 1 & ? & ? & 4 & ? & 3 \\ ? & ? & 3 & 2 & ? & 5 \\ 4 & ? & 3 & ? & 2 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$$
Users

• We would like to predict how users will like unwatched movies.

- The rating matrix is very big, 480, 189 (number of users) times 17, 770 (number of movies) in the Netflix case.
- But there are much fewer types of people and movies than there are people and movies.
- So it is reasonable to assume that for each user *i*, there is a *k*-dimensional vector p_i explaining the user's movie taste and for each movie *j*, there is also a *k*-dimensional vector q_j explaining the movie's appeal. And the inner product between these two vectors, p_i^Tq_j, is the rating user *i* gives to movie *j*, i.e., R_{ij} = p_i^Tq_j. Or equivalently in matrix form, R is factorized as R = P^TQ, where P ∈ R^{k×m}, Q ∈ R^{k×n}, k ≪ min(m, n).
- $\bullet\,$ It is the same as assuming the matrix ${\bf R}$ is of low rank.

• The true rank is unknown, a natural approach is to find the minimum rank solution

$$\begin{array}{ll} \underset{\mathbf{X}}{\operatorname{minimize}} & \operatorname{rank}(\mathbf{X}) \\ \text{subject to} & X_{ij} = R_{ij}, \quad \forall (i,j) \in \Omega, \end{array}$$

where $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$ is the set of observed entries.

• In practice, instead of requiring strict equality for the observed entries, one may allow some error and the formulation becomes

$$\begin{array}{ll} \underset{\mathbf{X}}{\text{minimize}} & \operatorname{rank}(\mathbf{X}) \\ \text{subject to} & \sum_{(i,j)\in\Omega} (X_{ij} - R_{ij})^2 \leq \epsilon. \end{array}$$

• Then, all the heuristics can be applied, e.g., log-det heuristic, matrix factorization.

• What algorithm did the final winner of the Netflix Prize use?

- You can find the report from the Netflix Prize website. The winning solution is really a cocktail of many methods combined and thousands of model parameters fine-tuned specially to the training set provided by Netflix.
- But one key idea they used is just the factorization of the rating matrix as the product of two low rank matrices [Koren et al., 2009], [Koren and Bell, 2011].

- What algorithm did the final winner of the Netflix Prize use?
- You can find the report from the Netflix Prize website. The winning solution is really a cocktail of many methods combined and thousands of model parameters fine-tuned specially to the training set provided by Netflix.
- But one key idea they used is just the factorization of the rating matrix as the product of two low rank matrices [Koren et al., 2009], [Koren and Bell, 2011].

Motivation

2 Problem Formulation

3 Heuristics for Rank Minimization Problem

- Nuclear Norm Heuristic
- Log-det Heuristic
- Matrix Factorization based Method
- Rank Constraint via Convex Iteration

4 Real Applications

- Netflix Prize
- Video Intrusion Detection

Background Extraction from Video

• Given video sequence $\mathbf{F}_i, i = 1, \dots, n$.



• The objective is to extract the background in the video sequence, i.e., separating the background from the human activities.

- Stacking the images and grouping the video sequence $\mathbf{Y} = [\operatorname{vec}(\mathbf{F}_1), \dots, \operatorname{vec}(\mathbf{F}_n)]$
- The background component is of low rank, since the background is static within a short period (ideally it is rank one as the image would be the same).
- The foreground component is sparse, as activities only occupy a small fraction of space.
- The problem fits into the following signal model

$$\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{X} + \mathbf{E},$$

where \mathbf{Y} is the observation, \mathbf{X} is a low rank matrix (the low rank background) and \mathbf{E} is a sparse matrix (the sparse foreground).

• Low-rank and sparse matrix recovery

$$\begin{array}{ll} \underset{\mathbf{X}}{\text{minimize}} & \operatorname{rank}(\mathbf{X}) + \gamma \left\| \operatorname{vec}(\mathbf{E}) \right\|_{0} \\ \text{subject to} & \mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{X} + \mathbf{E}. \end{array}$$

• Applying the nuclear norm heuristic and ℓ_1 -norm heuristic simultaneously

$$\begin{array}{ll} \underset{\mathbf{X}}{\text{minimize}} & \|\mathbf{X}\|_* + \gamma \|\text{vec}(\mathbf{E})\|_2\\ \text{subject to} & \mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{X} + \mathbf{E}. \end{array}$$

• Recently, some theoretical results indicate that when X is of low rank and E is sparse enough, exact recovery happens with high probability [Wright et al., 2009].

Background Extraction Result



- row 1: the original video sequences.
- row 2: the extracted low-rank background.
- row 3: the extracted sparse foreground.

J. Song and D. Palomar

Motivation

2 Problem Formulation

3 Heuristics for Rank Minimization Problem

- Nuclear Norm Heuristic
- Log-det Heuristic
- Matrix Factorization based Method
- Rank Constraint via Convex Iteration

4 Real Applications

- Netflix Prize
- Video Intrusion Detection

- We have introduced the rank minimization problem.
- We have developed different heuristics to solve the rank minimization problem:
 - Nuclear norm heuristic
 - Log-det heuristic
 - Matrix factorization based method
 - Rank constraint via convex iteration
- Real applications are provided.

References



Candès, E. J. and Recht, B. (2009). Exact matrix completion via convex optimization. Foundations of Computational mathematics, 9(6):717-772.



Dattorro, J. (2005). Convex Optimization & Euclidean Distance Geometry. Meboo Publishing USA.



Fazel, M. (2002). *Matrix rank minimization with applications*. PhD thesis, Stanford University.

Koren, Y. and Bell, R. (2011). Advances in collaborative filtering. In *Recommender Systems Handbook*, pages 145–186. Springer.

Koren, Y., Bell, R., and Volinsky, C. (2009). Matrix factorization techniques for recommender systems. *Computer*, 42(8):30–37.

Wright, J., Ganesh, A., Rao, S., Peng, Y., and Ma, Y. (2009). Robust principal component analysis: Exact recovery of corrupted low-rank matrices via convex optimization. In *Advances in neural information processing systems*, pages 2080–2088.

Thanks

For more information visit:

https://www.danielppalomar.com

